

Office of the Attorney General State of Texas

DAN MORALES
ATTORNEY GENERAL

March 17, 1998

Mr. Kevin McCalla
Director, Legal Division
Texas Natural Resource
Conservation Commission
P.O. Box 13087
Austin, Texas 78711-3087

OR98-0737

Dear Mr. McCalla:

You ask whether certain information is subject to required public disclosure under the Open Records Act, chapter 552 of the Government Code. Your request was assigned ID# 113771.

The Texas Natural Resource Conservation Commission (the "Commission") received a request for information concerning the Bear Water Quality Protection Zone. You assert that three documents and portions of a fourth document are excepted from required public disclosure based on sections 552.107(1) and 552.111 of the Government Code.

Section 552.107(1) of the Government Code states that information is excepted from required public disclosure if

it is information that the attorney general or an attorney of a political subdivision is prohibited from disclosing because of a duty to the client under the Texas Rules of Civil Evidence, the Texas Rules of Criminal Evidence, or the Texas Disciplinary Rules of Professional Conduct.

Although section 552.107(1) appears to except information within rule 1.05 of the Texas State Bar Disciplinary Rules of Professional Conduct, the rule cannot be applied as broadly as written to information that is requested under the Open Records Act. Open Records Decision No. 574 (1990) at 5. To prevent governmental bodies from circumventing the Open Records Act by transferring information to their attorneys, section 552.107(1) is limited to material within the attorney-client privilege for confidential communications;

"unprivileged information" as defined by rule 1.05 is not excepted under section 552.107(1). Open Records Decision Nos. 574 (1990) at 5, 462 (1987) at 13-14. Thus, section 552.107(1) protects only information that reveals attorney advice and opinion or client confidences. *See* Open Records Decision No. 574 (1990).

We have reviewed the information for which you raise section 552.107(1). We agree that the exception is applicable. Thus, the Commission may withhold the information from the requestor.

In light of our conclusion under section 552.107(1), we need not address your section 552.111 claim. We are resolving this matter with this informal letter ruling rather than with a published open records decision. This ruling is limited to the particular records at issue under the facts presented to us in this request and may not be relied upon as a previous determination regarding any other records. If you have questions about this ruling, please contact our office.

Yours very truly,

Kay Hastings

Assistant Attorney General Open Records Division

Hay Hastings

KHH/rho

Ref.: ID# 113771

Enclosures: Submitted documents

cc: Mr. Kenneth Ramirez

Bracewell & Patterson, L.L.P. 111 Congress Avenue, Suite 2300 Austin, Texas 78701-4052 (w/o enclosures)